

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,138	08/29/2003	Koichiro Tanaka	8375-006/DVA	1171
	7590 05/10/2007 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828			FAY, ZOHREH A	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/652,138	TANAKA, KOICHIRO
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Zohreh A. Fay	1618
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Descriptions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			*
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Fe This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•
Disposit	ion of Claims		•
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>23-30</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>23-30</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachmen			
2)  Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/652,138

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 23-30 are presented for examination.

The amendments and remarks filed on February 12, 2007 have been received and entered.

Claims 23, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Viegas et al. (U.S. Patent 5,587,175) for the reasons set forth on page 2 of the office action of September 11, 2006.

Claims 25 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Viegas et al. (U.S. Patent 5,587,175) in view of Chang (U.S. Patent 6,051,560) for the reasons set forth on pages 2-4 of the office action of September 11, 2006.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant in his remarks argues that the prior art uses the claimed composition as a laser ablatable corneal mask or as a corneal protective shield. Applicant also argues that the secondary reference uses the combination of the claimed viscoelstaic agents for healing after trauma, for topical application, irrigation during surgery, protecting corneal surface cells, during intraocular lens implantation, corneal transplantation, and other ocular surgical operation, however such reference does not teach the use of the composition for preventing or treating bacterial infection. The arguments are not well taken. The prior art clearly teaches the use of the claimed viscoelastic agents and their combination thereof as protective agents during ophthalmic surgery. The prior art also makes clear that antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory agents have been previously used in combination with viscoelastic agents during ophthalmic surgery. Applicant's invention is drawn to the use of the same

components as prior art during ophthalmic surgery. Applicant is reminded that if the prior art uses the same composition as claimed in claims 23, 24 and 26, such composition is expected to do the same function as the claimed composition. To add an antibacterial or anti-inflammatory agent to a mixture of claimed viscoelastic agents would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art motivated by the teaching that antibacterial and anti-inflammatory gents have been previously used in combination with viscoelastic agents in ophthalmic surgery. Applicant's arguments and declaration have been noted, however, the data presented in the submitted articles are not commensurate in scope with the claimed language.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Z.F

